

Hyperglycemia in Freshwater Field Crab
(*Oziotelphusa senex senex*) Produced
by Pesticides

K. S. Jagannatha Rao
Department of Zoology, S. V. University, Tirupati –
517502, India

Z. Naturforsch. **40c**, 592–593 (1985);
received February 25, 1985

Hyperglycemia, *Oziotelphusa senex senex*, Hyperglycemic
Hormone, DDT, Dieldrin

DDT and Dieldrin produced a significant increase in the
hemolymph sugar level of intact crab of *Oziotelphusa senex
senex* apparently by triggering the release of hyperglycemic
hormone (HGH).

Introduction

The chemical nature, mode and site of action of
crustacean hyperglycemic hormone (HGH) are well-
known [1–3]. In view of the fact that organo-
chlorides induce repetitive discharges in crustacean
neurons [4], it is conceivable that DDT, Dieldrin
could produce secretion of HGH from the neurose-
cretory cells that synthesize HGH. These investiga-
tions are undertaken to determine (a) whether a sub-
lethal dose of DDT and Dieldrin can indeed produce
an increase in hemolymph sugar level of crab and (b)
if DDT and Dieldrin does have such an effect
whether it might involve in stimulation of the release
of HGH.

Materials and Methods

Adult, healthy, male intermolt (stage C₄) speci-
mens of *Oziotelphusa senex senex*, were used. The
sublethal concentration of DDT (10 µgm) and Diel-
drin (20 µgm) were first dissolved in ethanol and di-
luted with distilled water, so that the final concentra-
tion was 10 µgm/50 ul, 20 µgm/50 ul of 0.1% ethanol,
respectively, for DDT and Dieldrin. All blood sam-
ples were removed at the same time of the day to
obviate any possible variations due to circadian
rhythmicity in hemolymph sugar level [5].
Hemolymph sugar was determined 2 h after injec-

tion using the anthrone reagent [6]. Student “t” test
was used in the calculation of probability values.

Results and Discussions

The results obtained with untreated intact crabs
and crabs that received various experimental treat-
ments are presented in Table I. The hemolymph

Table I. Changes in the hemolymph sugar level^a of *Oziotel-
phusa senex senex*, after various treatments.

Groups of crabs tested	Sugar level
Intact crabs	8.93 ± 0.93 ^b
Ethanol injected crabs	9.02 ± 0.97
DDT-injected intact crabs	15.49 ± 1.07
Dieldrin-injected intact crabs	12.34 ± 1.01
Eyestalkless crabs (24 h post-ablation)	6.50 ± 0.73
DDT-injected eyestalkless crabs	6.53 ± 0.99
Dieldrin-injected eyestalkless crabs	6.55 ± 0.99

^a = mg Glucose equivalent 100 ml⁻¹ of hemolymph.
^b = Values are mean ± SE of 8 individuals.

sugar in eyestalkless crabs was significantly
(P < 0.001) less (– 27.2%) than in intact crabs.
Both DDT and Dieldrin significantly (P < 0.001) in-
creased the hemolymph sugar in intact crabs but not
in eyestalkless crabs. Injection of ethanol did not
yield any significant change in normal as well as in
eyestalkless crabs. Between DDT and Dieldrin,
DDT is found to be more glucogenic than Dieldrin.

The above pesticides could have produced a rise in
hemolymph sugar level in the intact crab in multiple
ways (a) by triggering the release of HGH (b)
mimicking the action of HGH (c) direct stimulation
of glucogenolysis. However, because DDT and
Dieldrin were not able to produce an increase in
hemolymph sugar level in eyestalkless crabs, it seems
most likely that DDT and Dieldrin exerted its effects
by triggering release of HGH from sinus gland. This
also supports the hypothesis, that the sinus glands in
the eyestalk of this crab are the main site of HGH.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Prof. K. S. Swami for
suggestions and CSIR for financial assistance.

Reprint requests to K. S. Jagannatha Rao.
Verlag der Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, D-7400 Tübingen
0341–0382/85/0700–0592 \$ 01.30/0



- [1] L. H. Kleinholz, F. Kimball, and M. McGarvey, *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **8**, 75–81 (1967).
- [2] R. Keller and E. M. Andrew, *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* **20**, 572–578 (1973).
- [3] M. Telford, *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* **51**, 69–73 (1975).
- [4] J. W. Welsh and H. T. Gordon, *J. Cell. Comp. Physiol.* **30**, 147–149 (1947).
- [5] J. M. Dean and F. J. Vernberg, *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* **14**, 29–34 (1965).
- [6] N. V. Carrol, R. W. Longley, and R. H. Roe, *J. Biol. Chem.* **220**, 583–593 (1956).

